

OS REGISTRY

FILE

AUG 1980

STAT

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Regulations Control Division  
Information Services Staff

STAT

FROM: [REDACTED]  
Acting Director of Security

STAT

SUBJECT: Proposed [REDACTED] Access to Regulatory  
Issuances, Draft A (Job #9217)

REFERENCE: Your memorandum dated 11 July 1980,  
same subject

STAT

1. The Office of Security endorses the concept of  
facilitating employee access to Agency regulatory issuances.  
However, there are [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] where it is neither customary nor advisable to  
stock all regulatory issuances. Moreover, we believe it  
would be administratively burdensome to establish formal  
review areas and to file with the Regulations Control Division  
copies of notices announcing the establishment of such  
areas.

STAT

2. Accordingly, we believe that a Headquarters Notice  
reminding all supervisory personnel that they should take  
every feasible step to make available all Agency regulatory  
issuances would be preferable. This would accomplish the  
purpose of the original suggestion in a more cost-effective  
and efficient way without depriving those units not affected  
by certain issuances of the current flexibility they enjoy  
with respect to their inventory of such materials.

STAT



Distribution:

0 - Adse

1 - OS Reg

1 - PPG

1 - AD/S

OS/P&M/PPC [REDACTED] sw (29 Jul 80)

Revised [REDACTED] sw (6 Aug 80)

OS 0 1859-A

STAT

STAT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

11 July 1980

REFERENCE

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for National Foreign Assessment  
Deputy Director for Science and Technology  
Deputy Director for Operations  
Comptroller  
General Counsel  
Legislative Counsel  
Inspector General

25X1 FROM:

[REDACTED]  
Chief, Regulations Control Division  
Information Services Staff

25X1 SUBJECT:

Proposed [REDACTED] Access to Regulatory Issuances,  
DRAFT A (Job #9217)

## FOR YOUR CONCURRENCE OR COMMENTS:

1. Recently a suggestion was submitted to the Suggestion and Achievement Awards Committee that the Agency's regulatory issuances be made more accessible to employees. At present there appear to be no  
25X1 formal procedures with respect to access to regulatory issuances. [REDACTED]

2. Consonant with E.O. 12036, the Agency's regulatory system contemplates that all employees will not only have ready access to these regulations but will be advised of regulatory issuances governing conduct  
25X1 of intelligence activities on behalf of the Agency. [REDACTED]

3. Regulations Control Division (RCD) is responsible for the proper functioning of the Agency's regulatory system, which includes ensuring that proper mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be  
25X1 informed of regulatory issuances governing their activities. [REDACTED]

4. RCD has been requested to prepare a proposed headquarters notice setting forth procedures to achieve the aforementioned objective. Such procedures would have to consider availability of appropriate reading spaces as well as personnel to administer the review of regulations; also, security restraints require proper monitoring of review of regulations  
25X1 because of security classifications [REDACTED]

5. The attached proposed notice was prepared in this context. All coordinators are requested to review the attached notice and offer  
25X1 any comments or changes deemed necessary. [REDACTED]

25X1  
25X1

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

05 - 1819

CONFIDENTIAL

6. Please forward your comments, changes, or concurrence to the Regulations Control Division by 1 August 1980. Concurrence sheets are attached for your convenience. Any questions may be directed to [redacted]

25X1  
25X1  
25X1

Attachments:

- A. Concurrence Sheet
- B. Proposed [redacted]

25X1

cc: AO/DCI  
AO/RMS-CTS  
SSA/DDA  
C/ISS  
OC  
ODP  
OF  
OL  
OMS  
OPPPM  
OS  
OTR  
OEE0  
OPA

**Page Denied**

MEMORANDUM FOR:

DD/POM <sup>AUG 1980</sup> 55 ~~1000~~ C/PPG

I have no objection to  
the response but why are  
we bothering to answer at  
all?



Date

~~5 Aug 1980~~  
~~1000~~

STAT

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed  Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

516 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. DD/PTOS

22 JUL 1980

NC

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.2. DD/PSI  
4E-58, Hdqs.

24 JUL 1980

C

3. DD/CA  
3E-05, Hdqs.

7/17

NC

4. C/SEG  
4E-21, Hdqs.

21 JUL 1980

NC

5. C/SRD  
GE-31, Hdqs.

7-16

NC

6. C/AS  
4E-70, Hdqs.

28 JUL 1980

NC

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

BASIC

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

STAT

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed  Access to Regulatory Issuances

STAT

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

STAT

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

STAT

1. DD/PTOS

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.2. DD/PS  
4E-58, Hdqs.3. DD/C  
3E-0, Hdqs.4. C/SE  
4E-2, Hdqs.5. C/SRD  
GE-31, Hdqs.

7/16

[Signature]

6. C/AS  
4E-7, Hdqs.

7. PPG 4E70

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

STAT

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

No Comment.

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed  Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DD/PT S

2.

DD/PS  
4E-58, Hdqs.

3.

DD/CA  
3E-05, Hdqs.

4.

C/SEC  
4E-21, Hdqs.

5.

C/SR  
GE-31, Hdqs.

6.

C/AS  
4E-70, Hdqs.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.



## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed

Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DD/PT S

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.

2.

DD/PS  
4E-58 Hdqs.

3.

DD/CA  
3E-05 Hdqs.

4.

C/SEG  
4E-21, Hdqs.

6 JUL

RM

5.

C/SRD  
GE-31 Hdqs.

6.

C/AS  
4E-70 Hdqs.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed  Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

ADD/PTOS

17 JUL 1980

17 JUL 1980

C/A

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.

2.

DD/PS  
4E-58 Hdqs.

3.

DD/CA  
3E-05 Hdqs.

4.

C/SEC  
4E-21 Hdqs.

5.

C/SRI  
GE-31 Hdqs.

6.

C/AS  
4E-70 Hdqs.

7.

C/Phys I

21 JUL 1980

DB

8.

ADD/PTOS

21 JUL 1980

22 JUL 1980

C/A

9.

C/PPG

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

*Must meet at Sec 17 negs*

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

7-9: No PTOS Comment

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed

Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. DD/PT S

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.2. DD/PS  
4E-58 Hdqs.3. DD/CA  
3E-0 Hdqs.4. C/SEC  
4E-2 Hdqs.5. C/SR  
GE-3 Hdqs.6. C/AS  
4E-70, Hdqs.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

Disagree with the  
comment in para 1.

Re the comment on RCD  
responsibility, make in para 2  
I like what he has

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed [ ] Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DD/PSI

PPG

7/16

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.

2.

DD/PSI  
4E-58, Hdqs.

3.

DD/CA  
3E-05 Hdqs.

4.

C/SEC  
4E-21 Hdqs.

5.

C/SRI  
GE-31 Hdqs.

6.

C/AS  
4E-70 Hdqs.

7.

C/PPG

24 JUL 1980

8.

(Comments Attached)

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed [redacted] Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Chief, External Activities  
Branch

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

17 July 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DC/SSD

17 JUL 1980

7/17 ED

2.

C/SSD

17 JUL 1980

7/17 A

3.

C/OPS/PSI

7/18

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

17 July 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Chief, Security Support Division

FROM:

[REDACTED]  
Chief, External Activities Branch

SUBJECT:

Proposed [REDACTED] Access to Regulatory  
Issuances

We have reviewed the proposed notice and concur in the comments of PPG as set forth on the attached Form 610 except for the last sentence. While the Regulations Control Branch is not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed, it certainly can be granted so by the Deputy Director of Administration with the concurrence of the other Agency Deputy Directors.

[REDACTED]

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed [ ] Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DD/PSI

C/OPS 7/16

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.

2.

DD/PSI  
4E-58, Hdqs.

3.

DD/CA  
3E-05 Hdqs.

4.

C/SEC  
4E-21 Hdqs.

5.

C/SRI  
GE-31 Hdqs.

6.

C/AS  
4E-70 Hdqs.

7.

C/SSD

17 JUL 1980

7/17

A

8.

9.

10.

11.

C/SSD - For review,  
comment and return  
to C/OPS/PSI by  
25 July 1980.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed

Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DD/PSI

C/OPS 7/16

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.

2.

DD/PSI  
4E-58, Hdqs.

3.

DD/CA  
3E-05 Hdqs.

4.

C/SEC  
4E-27 Hdqs.

5.

C/SR  
GE-31 Hdqs.

6.

C/AS  
4E-70 Hdqs.

7.

C/SAG

7/17/80

JS

8.

AC/OPS/RSI

7/18

7/24

mm

9.

10.

11.

C/SAG - For review,  
comment and return  
to C/OPS/PSI by  
25 July 1980.

12.

13.

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

g. ap SAG comment.



## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed  Access to Regulatory Issuances

FROM:

Policy and Plans Group  
4E-70, Hdqs.

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

16 JUL 1980

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

nm/PSI

C/OPS 7/16

For review and comment by  
28 July 1980.

2.

DD/PSI  
4E-58, Hdqs.

3.

DD/CA  
3E-09 Hdqs.

4.

C/SEC  
4E-21 Hdqs.

5.

C/SRI  
GE-31 Hdqs.

6.

C/AS  
4E-70 Hdqs.

7.

AC/CD

7/17 7/17

8.

AC/OPS/PSI

7/17 7/20

9.

10.

AC/CD - For review,  
comment and return  
to C/OPS/PSI by  
25 July 1980.

14.

15.

The proposal presents several difficulties involving space, control, resources, guidance, and accountability to RCD. PPG suggests that providing copies of instructions to RCD is objectionable and unnecessary. Without this requirement, an internal system to permit review can be set up with a minimum of difficulty. Since administration of the new program is the responsibility of individual components, it should suffice if such components certify implementation of the program.

There is an alternative objection to the program on security grounds. There is no provision for OS to monitor reading areas, access to copiers, etc. RCD is not equipped or authorized to pass on security matters. In fact, the statement that RCD is responsible for ensuring mechanisms exist to enable all employees to be informed of regulatory issuances is open to interpretation. By regulation, RCD is responsible for distribution and not specifically empowered to initiate the type of program proposed.

~~By request of C/OPS/PSI~~  
By request of C/OPS/PSI  
However, we endorse the  
action of PPG.